The Egyptian Journal of Bronchology

RESEARCH

Open Access

MicroRNA genetic signature in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) Egyptian patients



Samar A. Mansour^{1,2}, Amgad A. Farhat¹, Ayman H. Abd El-Zaher¹, Adel S. Bediwy^{1*}, Said M. Abdou^{2,3}, Ayman A. Al Saka⁴ and Abdel Aziz A. Zidan^{2,5}

Abstract

Background: Cancer development is associated with deregulated microRNA (miRNA) in body fluids including serum, plasma, and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL). Early diagnosis and early treatment of lung cancer improve survival and response to treatment. So, finding an easy detectable biomarker is crucially important to improve the disease outcome. So, we analyzed the differential expression of miRNA using microarray both in serum and BAL of 37 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients and 30 healthy control subjects (15 non-smokers and 15 smokers).

Results: A total of 32 miRNAs were significantly differentially expressed in serum of NSCLC patients versus controls (13 up-regulated and 19 down-regulated), whereas 14 miRNAs were significantly differentially expressed in BAL of NSCLC patients relative to control (12 upregulated and 2 downregulated). The accuracy of MiRNAs to detect lung cancer patients versus control was 94.3% with a specificity of 97.8% and a sensitivity of 92.3%.

Conclusions: Expression of miRNAs is specific in both serum and BAL of NSCLC patients, indicating that they might be considered easy diagnostic biomarkers for early lung cancer detection.

Keywords: MicroRNA, Lung cancer, Early cancer detection

Background

Lung cancer occurs when the body loses control over the continuously proliferating cells of the lung [1]. Lung cancer is responsible for more deaths in males than any other cancers; while its contribution to female cancer mortality is limited to the more developed countries [2]. The type of lung cancer and the stage at presentation are the two most important factors determining the 5-year survival [3]. Unfortunately, a great proportion of lung cancer patients present only with late metastases [4]. Dysregulated microRNAs (miRNA) have been detected in patients with cancer [5].

MiRNAs are a group of tiny, single-stranded, non-coding RNA molecules. They contribute mainly by downregulation of gene expression after completing transcription and organization of many processes on cellular levels. These

* Correspondence: adelsalah1@yahoo.com

¹Chest Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University Hospital, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

biological markers [9].

Methods

processes include growth, differentiation, metabolism, and

apoptosis [6, 7]. The source of circulating miRNAs is

leakage from body cells including malignant cells [8]. They

are resistant to digestion by RNase and other extreme

conditions including boiling, prolonged storage, and

freezing making them one of the most important

Our study aimed to study the differential miRNA

expression profiles in NSCLC Egyptian patients. We

investigated whether their expression in serum and BAL

could be used as easy detectable biomarkers for NSCLC.

from June 2015 to June 2018 after the approval of the

ethics committee of Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University.

🙆 Springer Open

Recruitment, sample collection, and measurement were done during the first year and then follow up only for survival for 2 years. Study was registered in ClinicalTrials. gov (Identifier NCT02445924) on 15 May 2015 URL: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02445924.

Subjects

MiRNAs were extracted from serum and BAL of 37 NSCLC patients and 30 healthy volunteers (15 nonsmokers and 15 smokers). Informed written consents were obtained from all participants. Recently, diagnosed NSCLC patients who did not receive chemotherapy, surgery, or radiation therapy were included in the study. Lung cancer was confirmed by histopathological examination of BAL, brush, and/or biopsy. While patients with concomitant asthma, COPD, broncheiactasis, upper/ lower respiratory tract infection in the preceding 4 weeks, active pulmonary tuberculosis, associated cancer beside lung cancer, and patients who received chemotherapy, surgery or radiation therapy previous to the sample collection was excluded from the study. The same exclusion criteria were applied for the controls.

RNA extraction

Venous blood (5 ml) was collected, and serum obtained and stored at – 20 °C or – 80 °C until required. BAL (20 ml) was centrifuged at 1800g and 4 °C for 10 min. Cell pellet from BAL was frozen as a dry pellet at – 80 °C for later RNA isolation. Total RNA, including miRNAs from serum and BAL, was isolated by TRIzol (Invitrogen) (Qiagen USA Maryland Germantown) in combination with RNeasy Mini Kit from Qiagen. Five milliliters of serum was added to 5 volumes QIAzol Lysis Reagent and mixed by pipetting up and down. The mix was stored at – 80° until all samples available for simultaneous processing

Analysis of RNA and miRNA concentration with nano drop

The concentration and purity of the large RNA (mRNA) fractions (> 200 nucleotides) and small RNA (miRNA) fractions (< 200 nucleotides) were assessed using the Nano Drop spectrophotometer (Nano Drop Technologies). The sample arm was used to compress the sample resulting in the formation of a sample column, held in place by surface tension. Spectral measurements were made with a tightly controlled path length of 0.1 cm.

Analysis of RNA and miRNA integrity with Agilent 2100 bio analyzer

Quantification and data analysis by the Agilent 2100 Expert software (Version B.02.03). Integrity of the total and large RNA fraction was assessed using the RNA 6000 Nano Lab Chip Series II Assay, and the small RNA enriched fractions were also analyzed using the small RNA assay—RNA samples loaded onto the Agilent chip that were separated by capillary electrophoresis according to their molecular weight.

Flash Tag[™] Biotin HSR RNA Labeling Procedure

The Flash Tag[™] Biotin HSR Labeling Kit was used. The starting RNA input was 60 to 100 ng/L of total RNA. This protocol describes labeling total RNA or low molecular weight (LMW) RNA for analysis by Affymetrix[®] Gene Chip[®] (USA Qiagen Company) miRNA Arrays (TaqMan Array Human MicroRNA Card containing a total of 384 TaqMan MicroRNA assays per card) and includes an in-process ELOSA QC Assay. The labeling process was completed in less than one hour. Expression Console[™] 1.4.1.46 software was used

Analysis of microRNAS using quantitative RT-PCR

Some miRNAs that were differently expressed using microarray were verified using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) using TaqMan miRNA assay. These include analysis of fold change of miR-15b-5p, miR-193b, miR-301, miR-19b-1, miR-106a, miR-106b, miR-16-5b, miR-146a, let-7 g, miR-98, mir20b, miR-1, and miR-30a of 18 patients and 14 controls in both serum and BAL samples. Total RNA was isolated from samples using QIAzol Lysis Reagent (Qiagen USA) (1 to 10 ng of total RNA per 15- μ L RT reaction). RT reaction was performed in an Applied Biosystem's 7900 instrument.

RT reactions were carried out at $16 \,^{\circ}$ C for $30 \,^{\circ}$ min, $42 \,^{\circ}$ C for $30 \,^{\circ}$ min, and $85 \,^{\circ}$ C for $5 \,^{\circ}$ min, and then maintained at $4 \,^{\circ}$ C. There were significant correlations between microarray and qRT-PCR analysis.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed by using the SPSS software (Version 17.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Statistically significant differences were considered when P < 0.05. Parametric *t* test (unpaired, two tailed) for each miRNA was done following verification of the normal distribution of the measured data. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was analyzed to find the relative sensitivity and specificity of serum and BAL miRNA for diagnosis of lung cancer.

We verified whether the miRNA (both serum and BAL) was differentially expressed or not by checking the fold change (FC), which is the ratio of y/x, where y = miRNA expression in the test sample (control or patient), x = standard miRNA expression level, and fold change = x/y.

If FC = 1, then the miRNA is not differentially expressed, if FC is more than 1, then the miRNA is upregulated while FC is much smaller than 1.0, then the

miRNA is downregulated, and miRNA that is either downregulated or upregulated is said to be differentially expressed. Values were presented in log fold change.

The concentrations of miRNAs were normalized to reference miRNA level, and relative levels were evaluated using the comparative quantification cycle (Cq). Relative amount of each miRNA to ref rRNA was described using the equation $2 - \Delta CT$, where $\Delta CT = CTmiRNA-CTref$ mirna and CT (concentration).

Results

Demographic data of patients and controls are shown in Table 1.

Two lung cancer patients were hypertensive while another two were diabetics, and they did not show significant miRNA expression pattern.

In this study, a total of 32miRNAs were differentially expressed in NSCLC patients versus control. Thirteen miRNAs were significantly upregulated, while 19 were significantly downregulated in the NSCLC serum relative to control (Tables 2 and 3).

To evaluate the effect of smoking on miRNA expression, we compared serum miRNA expression between control smokers and non-smokers. We found that miR-143, miR-181, and miR-199a were the most consistently upregulated while miR-1246, miR-146a, miR218, miR-133, and let7-a were the most consistently downregulated in control smokers versus control non-smokers (Table 4).

We found that in NSCLC smokers, serum miR-143, miR-181, and miR-199a were significantly upregulated, and serum miR-146a and let 7a were significantly down-regulated versus control smokers (Table 5).

In our study, a total of 14 miRNAs were differentially expressed in BAL of NSCLC patients versus control. Out of them, 12 miRNAs were significantly consistently upregulated (miR-19b-1, miR-1285, miR-1289, miR-1303, miR- 217, miR-29a-5p, miR-548-3p, miR-650, miR-106a, miR-106-b, miR-143, miR-10-b), while two miRNAs (miR-1 and miR-30a) exhibited significant downregulation (Table 6).

In order to evaluate the accuracy of the measured miRNAs in reaching a statistical significance, 100 repetitions of 10-fold changes were done. Using a subset of 29 miRNAs, the best results were obtained with an accuracy of 94.3% [93.9–94.8%], a specificity of 97.8% [97.1–98.4%], and a sensitivity of 92.3% [91.5–92.3%].

After 2 years follow-up, eight patients of NSCLC group died. We found high expression of seven miRNAs (miR21-5, miR143-p, miR155, miR17-3p, miR106a, miR-221, and miR93) and low expression of 10 miRNAs (miR30d-5p, miR137, miR372, miR182, let-7 family, miR-182, miR145, miR499a-5p, miR-1, miR451a, and miR 486-5P) in their sera in comparison to survivors. Upregulated and downregulated miRNAs in sera of NSCLC patients who died are presented in Table 7.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was analyzed, and we found sensitivity of 91.9% and 94.6% for serum and BAL miRNA, respectively, for diagnosis of lung cancer with specificity of 93.3% and 96.6%, respectively (Table 8).

Discussion

The identification of specific miRNA profile in lung cancer may aid in early diagnosis with the least invasive maneuver [10]. In this study, a total of 32 miRNAs were differentially expressed in NSCLC sera versus controls while 14 miRNAs were differentially expressed in BAL of NSCLC compared to control.

The upregulation of miR-15b-5b in sera of NSCLC patients in our study was in accordance with the results of Fan et al. [11]. They also found other five serum miR-NAs (miR-16-5p, miR-17b-5p, miR-19-3p, miR-20a-5p, and miR-92-3p) to be significantly downregulated in NSCLC which was similar to our results except for miR-

Table 1 Demographic data of the three studied groups

	Control subjects, $\mathbf{N} = 30$	NSCLC patients, N = 37	Test	P value
Gender	18 males 12 females	24 males 13 females	Chi-square	0.940
Age (years) Mean ± SD	58.30 ± 7.44	55.20 ± 9.34	t test	0.724
Smoking state	15 smokers 15 non-smokers	22 smokers 15 non-smokers	Chi-square	0.8350
Histological type		17 (45.95%) SSC 16 (43.24%) AD 4 (10.81%) LCC		
TNM stage		Stage I 8 (21.62%) Stage II 15 (40.54%) Stage III 9 (24.33%) Stage IV 5 (13.51%)		

*Significant

SCC squamous cell carcinoma, AD adenocarcinoma, LCC large cell carcinoma

patients compared to control subjects						
mi RNA	Log (fold change	p value				
	Control	NSCLC patients				
miR-15b-5p	1.32 ± 0.12	3.33 ± 0.82	0.001*			
miR-193b	-0.06 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.04	0.001*			
miR-301	-0.05 ± 0.03	0.15 ± 0.02	0.001*			

 0.32 ± 0.07

0.73 ± 0.11

6.41 ± 0.22

 0.25 ± 0.18

 0.25 ± 0.06

 0.06 ± 0.01

 0.85 ± 0.03

0.45 ± 0.02

 0.15 ± 0.02

 0.20 ± 0.04

0.001*

0.001*

0.001*

0.001*

0.001*

0.001*

0.001*

0.001*

0.001*

0.001*

 -0.16 ± 0.02

 -0.05 ± 0.03

 -0.06 ± 0.02

 -0.10 ± 0.12

 -0.16 ± 0.02

 0.55 ± 0.02

 0.12 ± 0.03

 0.05 ± 0.03

 0.12 ± 0.02

 2.50 ± 0.30

Table 2 List of 13 upregulated serum miRNAs in NSCLC

*Significant t test	

miR-141

miR-200b

miR-22

miR-21

miR-210

miR-340

miR-203

miR-221

miR-19 a

miR-423-5p_st

92-3p which was not significantly deregulated in sera of our patients. This difference might be explained by the difference in sample size and ethnicity of patients.

On the other hand, Patnaik et al. [12] reported that let-7 family and miR 126 had no differential expression

Table 3 List of 19 down regulated serum miRNAs in NSCLC patients compared to control subjects

mi RNA	Log (fold chang	p value	
	Control	NSCLC patients	
miR-16-5b	0.22 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01	0.001*
miR-17b-5p	3.05 ± 0.02	2.40 ± 18	0.001*
miR-19-3p	± 2.170.07	-0.02 ± 0.012	0.001*
miR-20a-5p	0.19 ± 0.06	-0.18 ± 0.017	0.001*
miR-339-5p	0.53 ± 0.29	0.06 ± 0.02	0.001*
miR-146a	0.55 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.03	0.001*
let-7 g	0.55 ± 0.03	0.08 ± 0.03	0.001*
let-7a	5.16 ± 0.09	2.42 ± 0.37	0.001*
let-7c	6.92 ± 0.01	3.05 ± 0.03	0.001*
let-7e	7.41 ± 0.82	3.80 ± 0.04	0.001*
let-7f	0.34 ± 0.08	-0.04 ± 0.03	0.001*
let-7d	4.77 ± 0.23	1.52 ± 0.19	0.001*
let-7i	0.93 ± 0.03	0.37 ± 0.05	0.001*
miR-15a	0.20 ± 0.25	-0.01 ± 0.002	0.001*
195 MiR-	0.22 ± 0.03	-0.04 ± 0.03	0.001*
miR-98	1.31 ± 0.14	-0.05 ± 0.03	0.001*
miR20b	0.31 ± 0.07	-0.19 ± 0.10	0.001*
miR-126-	0.24 ± 0.06	-0.06 ± 0.03	0.001*
miR-486-5p	4.49 ± 0.14	2.40 ± 0.16	0.001*

*Significant t test

Table 4 Comparison between the control groups smokers and non-smokers according to differentially expressed serum miRNAS

Mi RNA	Log (fold change) (p value	
	Control smokers No. 15	Control non-smokers No. 15	
miR-143	-0.07 ± 0.02	1.32 ± 0.16	0.001*
miR-181	-0.05 ± 0.03	0.55 ± 0.02	0.001*
miR- 133	-0.01 ± 0.01	2.02 ± 0.02	0.001*
miR-199a	-0.05 ± 0.03	1.25 ± 0.15	0.001*
miR-1246	2.24 ± 0.27	-0.06 ± 0.02	0.001*
miR-146a	2.50 ± 0.30	-0.07 ± 0.03	0.001*
miR-218	1.25 ± 0.15	-0.05 ± 0.01	0.001*
let-7a	$2.5 \pm 0.30 - 0.16 \pm 0.01$		0.001*

*Significant t test

between lung cancer patients and control which was opposite to our results. The difference might be because they examined only 75% of known human mature miR-NAs, while we examined the whole panel of miRNA in our study. In addition, they used whole blood instead of serum. Another factor is that all their patients were heavy smokers and over sixty of age.

The findings of differentially expressed serum miRNAs in NSCLC versus control in our study are consistent with findings of some other studies [13-19]. Similar to our results, two independent studies found significant upregulation of serum miR-141, miR-200b, miR-193b, and miR-301 in NSCLC patients [20, 21]. The upregulation of miR-340 and miR-203 is also in agreement with the work of some other researchers [22]. In accordance with our results, downregulation of miR-146a was reported by Park et al [23] who used microarray and qRT-PCR (reverse transcriptase PCR) to investigate the miRNA expression profiles.

In accordance of our results, Rehbein et al. [24] reported that a panel of eight microRNAs (miR 19b-1, 1285, 1289, 1303, 217, 29a-5p, 548-3p, 650) were

Table 5 Comparison between control group II (smokers) and smokers among lung cancer patients according to differentially expressed serum miRNAS

Mi RNA	Log (fold change) (p value		
	Control smokers, N = 15	Lung cancer smokers, $N = 22$		
miR-143	1.32 ± 0.16	3.54 ± 0.29	0.001*	
miR-181	2.50 ± 0.30	6.35 ± 0.15	0.001*	
miR-199a	1.25 ± 0.15	2.53 ± 0.15	0.001*	
miR-146a	0.07 ± 0.03	0.02 ± 0.001	0.001*	
let-7a	-0.16 ± 0.02	-0.06 ± 0.03	0.001*	

*Significant t test

 Table 6
 List of 14 deregulated miRNAs in bronchoalveolar

 lavage (BAL) of NSCLC patients compared to control subjects

Mi RNA in	Log (fold change) (m	p value	
BAL	Control	NSCLC patients	
miR-19b-1	0.010 ± 0.001	0.580 ± 0.03	0.001*
miR-1285	0.096 ± 0.021	0.18 ± 0.11	0.001*
miR-1289	0.169 ± 0.122	0.301 ± 0.02	0.001*
miR-1303	0.074 ± 0.025	0.188 ± 0.02	0.001*
miR-217	019 ± 0.087	0.199 ± 0.064	0.001*
miR-29a-5p	-0.250 ± -0.098	0.99 ± 0.2	0.001*
miR-548-3p	0.199 ± 0.036	0.98 ± 0.11	0.001*
miR-650	-0.033 ± -0.079	0.94 ± 0.133	0.001*
miR-106a	1.842 ± 0.504	3.22 ± 0.02	0.001*
miR-106-b	0.44 ± 0.01	1.95 ± 0.08	0.001*
miR-143	-0.7 ± -0.0125	0.095 ± 0.011	0.001*
miR-10-b	-0.33 ± -0.004	0.331 ± 0.023	0.001*
miR-1	4.72 ± 0.28	2.68 ± 0.11	0.001*
miR-30a	2.82 ± 0.12	0.11 ± 0.098	0.001*

*Significant t test

differentially upregulated in BAL of lung cancer patients versus non-cancerous patients using microarray.

In addition, Molina-Pinelo et al. [25] demonstrated upregulation of four miRNA clusters (the mir-17-92 cluster and its paralogues, mir-106a-363 and mir-106b-25; and the miR-192-194 cluster) in BAL of patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Upregulated mir-106a and mir-106b were exactly as in our results; however, the other two miRNAs were not deregulated in BAL of our patients. This difference might be because they included only adenocarcinoma patients, so squamous cell and large cell carcinoma patients were not included.

In another study, Molina-Pinelo et al. [26] documented downregulation of miR-17, miR19b, miR195, and miR20b

 Table 7
 List of miRNAs differentially expressed in non-surviving patients

putients			
Upregulated	Downregulated		
miR-21-5p miR-30d-5p			
miR- 143-p miR-137			
miR-155	miR-372		
miR-17-3p	miR-182		
miR-106a	hsa-let-7a-2, hsa-let-7b, Let -7c		
miR-221	miR-145		
miR-93	mir-499a-5p		
	miR-1		
	miR-451a		
	miR-486-5p		

Table 8 Comparison I	between	serum	and	BAL	miRNA	for
diagnosis of lung cand	cer					

	Serum miRNA	BAL miRNA
Sensitivity	91.9%	94.6%
Specificity	93.3%	96.6%
PPV	94.4%	97.2%
NPV	90.3%	93.5%
Accuracy	92.5%	95.5%

PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value

in BAL and serum of lung cancer patients, while in our patients, we found these microRNAs to be downregulated in serum only and not in BAL. One of the reasons for this discordance is that pre-analytic sample preparation methods are different, and that there is no standard so far. Also, Molina-Pinelo et al. [26] included only ten patients in their study, with only six lung adenocarcinoma stage III or IV while two of them were healthy and two had interstitial lung disease.

In 2015, Kim et al. declared similar expression of miR-21 and miR-143 in BAL fluid as detected in our patients [27]. Like in our results, Sheervalilou et al. [28] described upregulation of miR-10b and downregulation of miR-1 and miR30 in BAL of NSCLC patients compared to control, but they used RT-PCR.

In a trial to study the effect of smoking on miRNA profile, we found three miRNAs to be significantly up-regulated (miR-143, miR-181, and miR-199a) while other five were significantly downregulated (miR-133, miR-1246, miR-146a, miR218, and let7-a) in control smokers versus control non-smokers. These findings matched the results of Wang et al. [29] who found that miR-143 and miR-199a were upregulated by smoking. However, they described upregulation of miR-240 in smokers which was not detected in our study. Moreover, downregulation of let-7a in smokers was reported in another study [30] but in contrast to our results, they documented upregulation of miR-124 in smokers.

Another study [31] showed a different expression profile of miRNA in smokers as let7d, miR-150, miR-192, miR-197, and miR320 were upregulated in current smokers, while ex-smokers had higher serum expression of miR-1, miR-150, miR-195, and miR-320. Unlike our results, they found an overexpression of miR-146 in smokers. The difference from our results may be because they used qRT-PCR while we used microarray, difference in race, and sample size.

After 2 years follow-up, we detected high expression of seven miRNAs (miR21-5, miR143-p, 155, miR17-3p, miR106a, and miR93) and low expression of ten miR-NAs (miR30d-5p, miR137, miR372, miR182, let-7 family, miR145, miR21-5, miR21-5, miR21-5, miR21-5, miR21-5, miR21-5, miR499a-5p , miR-1 ,miR451a, and miR 486) in non-survivors indicating that they might have a prognostic value in lung cancer. In 2017, Li et al. [32] concluded that three miRNAs could predict the clinical outcome of NSCLC patients including high expression of miR-21-5p and the low expression of miR-30d-5p which is consistent with our results. In addition, Fortunato et al. [33] clarified downregulation of miR-499a-5p and considered it a predictor of poor prognosis in NSCL C patients.

Different studies stated that members of the *let-7* family exhibit downregulation in lung cancer and has a strong association with metastasis, advanced stages, and poor survival considering it a prognostic marker [20, 34–37].

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was analyzed, and we found sensitivity of 91.9% and 94.6% for serum and BAL miRNA, respectively, for diagnosis of lung cancer with specificity of 93.3% and 96.6%, respectively. These data were in accordance with yang et al. [38].

Limitations

This study was limited by the relatively small number of patients; the high cost of technique can explain this limitation. Although using microarray to detect miRNA expression is more expensive compared to cheaper methods as qRT-PCR, microarray can profile thousands of micro-RNAs concomitantly in a very short time permitting easy standardization of the technique.

Conclusions

NSCLC leads to a deregulation of miRNA expression compared to healthy individuals using microarray. Serum and BAL miRNAs may be used as novel biomarkers in early diagnosis of lung cancer. Verification of the results using next generation sequencing will help a better understanding of the specific panel of miRNAs in lung cancer. To our knowledge, this is the first study done in Middle East on NSCLC patients using microarray for studying the whole panel of miRNA.

Abbreviations

NSCLC: Non-small cell lung cancer; MiRNA: MicroRNA; RT-PCR: Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; BAL: Broncho-alveolar lavage

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

All the authors shared in the concept, design, definition of intellectual content, literature search, clinical studies, data acquisition, data analysis, statistical analysis, manuscript preparation, manuscript editing, and manuscript review. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All the data of the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Egypt (Approval code 2858/11/14). Informed written consents were obtained from all participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Chest Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University Hospital, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt. ²Genomic Signature Cancer Centre, Tanta University International Educational Hospital, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt. ³Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt. ⁴Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt. ⁵Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Damanhur University, Damanhur, Egypt.

Received: 6 April 2020 Accepted: 27 July 2020 Published online: 05 August 2020

References

- Cooper WA, Lam DC, O'Toole SA, Minna JD (2013) Molecular biology of lung cancer. J Thorac Dis 5(S5):S479–S490. https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn. 2072-1439.2013.08.03
- Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A (2015) Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 65:87–108
- Carnio S, Novello S, Papotti M, Loiacono M, Scagliotti GV (2013) Prognostic and predictive biomarkers in early stage non small-cell lung cancer: tumor based approaches including gene signatures. Transl Lung Cancer Res 2(5): 372–381. https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2218-6751.2013.10.05
- 4. Midthun DE (2016) Early detection of lung cancer. Fl000Res 5:739
- Vescovo VD, Grasso M, Barbareschi M, Denti MA (2014) MicroRNAs as Lung Cancer Biomarkers. World J Clin Oncol 5(4):604–620
- Perron MP, Provost P (2008) Protein interactions and complexes in human microRNA biogenesis and function. Front Biosci 13:2537–2547
- 7. Rajewsky N (2006) L(ou)sy miRNA targets? Nat Struct Mol Biol 13:754–755
- Zhang B, Pan X, Cobb G, Anderson TA (2007) microRNAs as oncogenes and tumor suppressors. Dev Biol 302:1–12
- Ma PC, Maulik G, Christensen J, Salgia R (2003) c-Met: structure, functions and potential for therapeutic inhibition. Cancer Metastasis Rev 22:309–325
- Wang Y, Chen J, Lin Z, Cao J, Huang H, Jiang Y, He H, Yang L, Ren NY, Liu G (2016) Role of deregulated microRNAs in non-small cell lung cancer progression using fresh-frozen and formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples. Oncol Lett 11:801–808
- Fan L, Qi H, Teng J, Su B, Chen H, Wang C, Xia Q (2016) Identification of serum miRNAs by nano-quantum dots microarray as diagnostic biomarkers for early detection of non-small cell lung cancer. Tumor Biol 37(6):7777–7784
- Patnaik SK, Kannisto ED, Mallick R, Vachani A, Yendamuri S (2017) Whole blood microRNA expression may not be useful for screening non-small cell lung cancer. PLoS One 12(7):e0181926 (1-19)
- Keller A, Leidinger P, Borries A, Wendschlag A, Wucherpfennig F, Scheffler M, Huwer H, Lenhof HP, Meese E (2009) miRNAs in lung cancer-studying complex fingerprints in patient's blood cells by microarray experiments. BMC Cancer 9:353
- Keller A, Backes C, Leidinger P, Kefer N, Boisguerin V, Barbacioru C, Vogel B, Matzas M, Huwer H, Katus HA, Stahler C, Meder B, Meese E (2011) Nextgeneration sequencing identifies novel microRNAs in peripheral blood of lung cancer patients. Mol BioSyst 7(12):3187–3199
- Leidinger P, Keller A, Borries A, Huwer H, Rohling M, Huebers J, Lenhof HP, Meese E (2011) Specific peripheral miRNA profiles for distinguishing lung cancer from COPD. Lung Cancer 74(1):41–47
- Leidinger P, Backes C, Dahmke IN, Galata V, Huwer H, Stehle I, Bals R, Keller A, Meese E (2014) What makes a blood cell based miRNA expression pattern disease specific?—a miRNome analysis of blood cell subsets in lung cancer patients and healthy controls. Oncotarget 5(19):9484–9497

- Jeong HC, Kim EK, Lee JH, Lee JM, Yoo HN, Kim JK (2011) Aberrant expression of let-7a miRNA in the blood of non-small cell lung cancer patients. Mol Med Rep 4(2):383–387
- Ulivi P, Foschi G, Mengozzi M, Scarpi E, Silvestrini R, Amadori D, Zoli W (2013) Peripheral blood miR-328 expression as a potential biomarker for the early diagnosis of NSCLC. Int J Mol Sci 14(5):10332–10342
- 20. Inamura K, Ishikawa Y (2016) MicroRNA In lung cancer: novel biomarkers and potential tools for treatment. J Clin Med 5(3):36
- Nadal E, Truini A, Nakata A, Lin J, Reddy RM, Chang AC, Ramanath N, Gotoh N, Beer DG, Chen G (2015) A novel serum 4-microRNA signature for lung cancer detection. Sci Rep 5:12464. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/srep12464
- Wozniak MB, Scelo G, Muller DC, Mukeria A, Zaridze D, Brennan P (2015) Circulating microRNAs as non-invasive biomarkers for early detection of non-small- cell lung cancer. PLoS One 10(5):e0125026
- Park DH, Jeon HS, Lee SY, Choi YY, Lee HW, Yoon S, Lee JC, Yoon YS, Kim DS, Na MJ, Kwon SJ, Kim DS, Kang J, Park JY, Son JW (2015) MicroRNA-146a inhibits epithelial mesenchymal transition in non-small cell lung cancer by targeting insulin receptor substrate 2. Int J Oncol 47:1545–1553
- 24. Rehbein G, Schmidt B, Fleischhacke M (2015) Extracellular microRNAs in bronchoalveolar lavage samples from patients with lung diseases as predictors for lung cancer. Clin Chim Acta 450:78–82
- Molina-Pinelo S, Pastor MD, Suarez R, Romero-Romero B, Gonzalez De la Pena M, Salinas A, Garcia-Carbonero R, De Miguel MJ, Rodriguez-Panadero F, Carnero A, Paz-Ares L (2014) MicroRNA clusters: dysregulation in lung adenocarcinoma and COPD. Eur Respir J 43:1740–1749
- Molina-Pinelo S, Suarez R, Pastor MD, Nogal A, Marguez-Martin E, Martin-Juan J, Carnero A, Paz-Ares L (2012) Association between the miRNA signatures in plasma and bronchoalveolar fluid in respiratory pathologies. Dis Markers 32:221–230
- Kim JO, Gazala S, Razzak R, Guo L, Ghosh S, Roa WH, Bedard EL (2015) Non-small cell lung cancer detection using microRNA expression profiling of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and sputum. Anticancer Res 35(4):1873–1880
- Sheervalilou R, Khamaneh AM, Sharifi A, Nazemiyeh M, Taghizadieh A, Ansarin K, Zarghami N (2017) Using miR-10b, miR-1 and miR-30a expression profiles of bronchoalveolar lavage and sputum for early detection of nonsmall cell lung cancer. Biomed Pharmacother 88:1173–1182
- Wang G, Wang R, Strulovici-Barel Y, Salit J, Staudt MR, Ahmed J, Tilley AE, Yee-Levin J, Holimann C et al (2015) Persistence of smoking-induced dysregulation of MiRNA expression in the small airway epithelium despite smoking cessation. Pcr PLoS One 10(4):e0120824
- Banerjee A, Waters D, Camacho O, Minet E (2015) Quantification of plasma microRNAs in a group of healthy smokers, ex-smokers and nonsmokers and correlation to biomarkers of tobacco exposure. Biomarkers 20(2):123–131
- Suzuki K, Yamada H, Nagura A, Ohashi K, Ishikawa H, Yamazaki M, Ando Y, Ichino N et al (2016) Association of cigarette smoking with serum microRNA expression among middle-aged Japanese adults. Fujita Med J 2:1–5
- Li C, Yin Y, Liu X, Xi X, Xue W, Qu Y (2017) Non-small cell lung cancer associated microRNA expression signature: integrated bioinformatics analysis, validation and clinical significance. Oncotarget 8(15):24564–24578
- Fortunato O, Verri C, Pastorino U, Sozzi G, Boeri M (2016) MicroRNA profile of lung tumor tissues is associated with a high risk plasma miRNA signature. Microarrays (Basel) 5(3):18
- Sun CC, Li SJ, Zhang F, Pan JY, Wang L, Yang CL, Xi YY, de Li J (2016) HsamiR-329 exerts tumor suppressor function through down-regulation of MET in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget 7(16):21510–21527
- Li M, Zhang S, Wu N, Wu L, Wang C, Lin Y (2016) Overexpression of miR-499–5p inhibits non-small cell lung cancer proliferation and metastasis by targeting VAV3. Sci Rep 6:23100
- Avgeris M, Mavridis K, Tokas T, Stravodimos K, Fragoulis EG, Scorilas A (2015) Uncovering the clinical utility of miR-143, miR-145 and miR-224 for predicting the survival of bladder cancer patients following treatment. Carcinogenesis 36:528–537

- Wang R, Wang ZX, Yang JS, Pan X, De W, Chen LB (2011) MicroRNA-451 functions as a tumor suppressor in human non-small cell lung cancer by targeting ras-related protein 14 (RAB14). Oncogene 30:2644–2658
- Yang X, Zhang Q, Zhang M, Su W, Wang Z, Li Y, Zhang J, Beer DG, Yang S, Chen G (2019) Serum microRNA signature is capable of early diagnosis for non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Biol Sci 15:1712–1722

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at **>** springeropen.com